IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

ULTRATEC, INC. and CAPTEL, INC.,		
Plaintiffs, SPECIAL VERDICT FORM – DAMAGES [OCTOBER 6 DRAFT] 14-cv-66-jdp v.		
SORENSON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. and CAPTIONCALL, LLC,		
Defendants.		
We, the jury, for our special verdict, do find as follows:		
QUESTION NO. 1: Do you find that the reasonable royalty should include a one-time lump sum payment?		
ANSWER: ("yes" or "no") IF "YES,"		
What is the amount of the one-time lump sum payment? \$		
\$		

QUESTION NO. 2: Do you find that the reasonable royalty should include a perminute running royalty?

ANSWER:	("yes" or "no")
IF "YES,"	
What is the royalty rate per min	nute?
cents	
What is the total number of inf	ringing minutes?
minu	tes
What is the total royalty payme	ent for defendants' infringement?
\$	
	Presiding Juror
ison, Wisconsin	
ed this day of October, 2015	